S. No.	Name of the Industry	1988	1989	1990
33.	Glass	24	18	4
34.	Ceramics	20	18	8
35.	Cement & Gypsum Products	5	3	6
36.	Timber Products	1	2	-
37.	Defence Industries	-	-	-
38.	Cigarettes	-	-	-
39.	Consultancy	39	20	10
40.	Misc. Industries	97	41	73
	TOTAL	926	605	666

Production of Cars

1046. SHRI K.P. UNNIKRISHNAN: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) the month-wise production of Ambassador cars (petrol and diesel), Premier 118-NE cars, Standard cars, Maruti cars and Gypsies (jeeps) and Mahindra jeeps (petrol and diesel) during the years 1989, 1990 and till September 30, 1991;

(b) the installed capacity for production and percentage of capacity utilisation in each of the units;

(c) the number of above cars and vehicles sold in the four metropolitan cities and different States during the same period and price at which sold; and

(d) the projected demand for passenger cars/jeeps till AD 2000?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF INDUSTRY (PROF. P.J. KURIEN) (a) The production of cars and jeeps during the last three years has been as under:-

(*Production in Nos.*)

YEAR	*AMBASSADOR*	*PREMIER 118 NE*	*MARUTI*	*MAHINDRA JEEP*	*STANDARD*
1989	25859	7203	151061	33359	Nil
1990	22445	7987	116400	32779	Nil
1991 (upto Aug.)	12332	7282	87886	13283	Nil

(b) The installed capacity of production and percentage of capacity utilisation of the units is as under:

		Installed Capacity	*% of Utilisation*
1.	M/s Hindustan Motors Ltd.	30,000	74.8%
2.	M/s Premier Automobiles Ltd.	30,000	97.7%
3.	M/s Maruti Udyog Ltd.	1,30,000	101.4%
4.	M/s Mahindra & Mahindra Ltd.	27,000	73.8%
5.	M/s Standard Motors	3,500	Nil

(c) As there is no statutory control over the prices and distribution of cars/jeeps the information regarding number of vehicles sold and the selling price is not being maintained.

(d) The projected demand for passanger cars/jeeps by 2000 AD is as under:

1. Cars	-	4,50,000 nos.
2. Jeeps	-	1,20,000 nos.

Recovery of Undue Profits Charged for Drugs and Formulations

1047. SHRI K.P. UNNIKRISHNAN: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Supreme Court of India had, in 'Union of India Vs. Cyanamid (India) Limited' directed the Government of India to dispose of all the Review Applications from manufacturers of pharmaceuticals and recover undue profits charged for drugs and formulations;

(b) the particulars of pharmaceutical manufactures who complied with the directive and made deposits in Drug Price Equalisation Account (DPEA) and the amount so deposited with dates;

(c) the amount due from various companies as per judgement, with accumulated interest till 30 Sept. 1991;

(d) the steps taken to recover this amount;

(e) the reasons as to why penal provisions of Essential Commodities Act were not invoked and failure of of drug manufacturers to pay this amount reported to the Supreme Court; and

(f) whether drug companies continue to charge the same high prices?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF CHEMICALS AND FERTILIZERS (DR. CHINTA MOHAN): (a) to (c). The companywise details for the liabilities assessed and amounts received so far are given in the attached Statement.

(d) and (e). A number of pharmaceutical companies were asked to discharge the entire liability, failing which the Government would be constrained to intiate action for recovery of the amount as arrears of land revenue along with the interest from the date of default. The companies have not yet discharged their liability. A few of them have however filed writ petition and obtained stay orders. Some of them have also asked for